

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/718,982	Applicant(s) HALE ET AL.	
	Examiner Mina Haghighatian	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghighatian.

(2) Barry Swanson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 75, 102, 103

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested to Mr. Swanson to insert certain limitations disclosed in the provisional applications in the instant application to obviate any potential issues of antecedent basis. The limitations were basically the particle size ranges of less than 5 microns, 0.2 to 5 microns and 0.2 to 3 microns. Mr. Swanson agreed to all the suggestions and also requested correction of a typographical error in claim 75 and addition of two new claims, claiming the thickness of the film. It was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's amendment (attached).